Fall 2008 GCOE Evaluation Frequency Analysis for Instructor, Courses and Section

Instructor=TAYLOR Course=6920 Section=1

| NO. QUESTION | FREQUENCY | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---|---|----|-------|-------|----|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Mean | Std | N |
| 1. The course was organized in a coherent sequence, | 0 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 3.400 | 0.632 | 15 |
| 2. Course activities were aligned with the course goals and objectives. | 0 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 3.333 | 0.724 | 15 |
| 3. The instructor related course material to practical issues in educational or counseling settings. | 0 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 3.438 | 0.629 | 16 |
| 4. The instructor's explanations of complex ideas or theories were clear. | 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 3.375 | 0.806 | 16 |
| 5. The required readings and materials contributed important information to the course. | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 3.154 | 0.689 | 13 |
| 6. The instructor demonstrated command of the subject matter. | 1 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 3.571 | 0.852 | 14 |
| 7. The instructor linked the course content to professional practice. | 0 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 3.438 | 0.727 | 16 |
| 8. My critical thinking has improved because of this class. | 0 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 3.200 | 0.775 | 15 |
| 9. This course has helped me become more receptive to multiple points of view. | 0 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 3.333 | 0.617 | 15 |
| 10. This course helped improve my understanding of the relationship between the central topic of this course and urban communities or urban topics. | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2.846 | 0.987 | 13 |
| 11. This course helped improve my understanding of the relationship between the central topic of this course and diversity (e.g. gender, race, disability, sexual orientation, and/or socioeconomic status). | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2.846 | 0.987 | 13 |
| 12. Course expectations were clear. | 2 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 2.733 | 0.961 | 15 |
| 3. The instructor's evaluation of my work was helpful. | 1 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 3.400 | 0.828 | 15 |
| 4. The instructor's feedback was timely. | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 3.500 | 0.650 | 14 |
| 5. The instructor maintained a positive atmosphere for earning. | 1 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 3.357 | 0.842 | 14 |
| 6. The instructor was sensitive to diversity among students e.g. gender, race, disability, sexual orientation, and/or ocioeconomic status). | 1 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 3.385 | 0.870 | 13 |
| 7. I felt comfortable asking questions during or outside of lass. | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 3.500 | 0.650 | 14 |
| 8. The instructor used technology to enhance learning. | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 3.000 | 1.033 | 16 |
| 9. This instructor used a variety of teaching methods (e.g. ectures, group discussions or projects, movies, interactive nedia). | 2 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 3.375 | 1.025 | 16 |
| 0. The instructor was receptive to constructive feedback. | 1 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 3.571 | 0.852 | 14 |

Fall 2008 GCOE Evaluation Frequency Analysis for Instructor, Courses and Section

Instructor=TAYLOR Course=6920 Section=1

| NO. QUESTION | FREQUENCY | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----|-----|------|-------|-------|-----|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Mean | Std | N |
| 21. The instructor encouraged student participation. | 1 | 1 | 1 | . 11 | 3.571 | 0.938 | 14 |
| 22. The instructor was reliable (e.g. punctual, did not cancel class unnecessarily). | 1 | ő | 2 | 11 | 3.643 | 0.842 | 14 |
| 24. I would recommend this instructor to other students. A. Strongly Disagree B. Disagree C. Agree D. Strongly Agree | 1 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 3.125 | 0.806 | 16 |
| Total | 17 | 29 | 123 | 167 | 3.310 | 0.832 | 336 |