Date assignment was turned in \_\_\_\_\_

Rubric for	Assignment '	3. Interview	of Pre-Practicum	Teacher
KUDI IC IOI	Assignment.	J. Intel view	of Tre-Tracticum	reacher

Level of quality Criteria for evaluation	Advanced/excellent	Acceptable/proficient	Unacceptable
Two or three (or more) instances of formative assessment used by the teacher are discussed	• For each: The usage of formative assessment by the teacher is clearly described. The area of formative practice (according to Black et al.) is identified.	• The usage of formative assessment is described, but it is unclear how it is a formative practice or how it is related to the practices described by Black et al.	Vague or diffuse description of the formative practices, not clearly related to the practices explicated by Black et al.
Summary of teacher's responses to questions about formative feedback practices	<ul> <li>For each formative practice: Clear explanation, deriving from interview, of why teacher used this formative practice and what s/he intended the students to get from it.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Explanations are provided, but they are sometimes unclear or not apparently relevant to the formative practices described.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Explanations are attempted, but unclear and/or not relevant, and do not provide insight into why the teacher used the practice and what s/he hoped students would learn.</li> </ul>
Reflections on what you learned	<ul> <li>For each formative practice: The discussion of what you learned is relevant, and connects to reading from Black et al.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Discussion based on vague understanding of teacher's answers or loose connection to what apparently took place in the class observed. Missed opportunities to make connections to reading assignment.</li> </ul>	Discussion of what you learned is missing.
Clarity of writing (includes spelling, grammar, punctuation, essay structure, etc.)	Well written, clear, few mistakes	<ul> <li>Well written, clear, some mistakes and/or some pieces that don't make sense</li> </ul>	Paper does not demonstrate writing proficiency: grammatical errors, no connections between ideas, awkward pacing, poor organization, or difficult to discern points being made.

## **Holistic Rubric**

Advanced/Excellent

Paper is clear and focused. Addresses all items specified in the assignment description. Coherent and holds reader's attention

## Acceptable/(Near) Proficient

Writer beginning to define ideas & main points, but development of ideas is basic, general, or unfocused.

## **Unacceptable**

Paper has no clear sense of purpose and lacks direction. Ideas, details or events seem strung together in a random fashion. Reader must make inferences based on sketchy or missing details and explanations.