Modelling Hydrological Processes

- In a typical hydrology course, you focus upon how hydrological processes work **in time**
 - i.e. Given this much water in such and such a store, if we add water via process X and remove water via process Y, how much water will remain in that store at time T
- This is a very useful way to look at things if you want to consider the state of a **particular store** or part of a catchment **in isolation**
- However, as our applications of hydrology get more **realistic**, we often want to consider what happens when we have **several stores**, and they can **interact** in **both time and space**



Nix, S.J. 1994. Urban Stormwater Modeling and Simulation. Lewis Publishers, U.S.A., p. 23.

Mathematical models have three basic components: The **input data**, the **algorithmic portion** that does the modeling, and **outputs** that describe the results

Hydrological Modeling with GIS

- The discipline of **Geography** is equally interested (or perhaps more interested) in the change of phenomena (in ecosystems or other contexts) **in space**
- Thus, the approach you may have used in a hydrology course ignores some **key aspects** of hydrologic systems which are **popular with geographers**:
 - Processes work differently in **different locations**
 - We can better understand the underlying processes that make them function by describing them in terms of their distribution in space (mapping)
 - We can **subdivide** catchments **into smaller units** and study each in isolation to figure out how things are working
 - We can model the interactions between the smaller units to get at the bigger picture

Lumped vs. Distributed Models

- We can distinguish between two types of models:
- <u>Lumped Models</u> These are the sorts of models you likely would have focused on a hydrology course
 - They represent inputs and responses in terms of the dimensions of time and whatever is being modeled
 (issues of location and associated dimensions of length, area and volume are often absent)
 - No account is taken of variation within the entity being modeled: It is assumed to be homogenous and wellmixed, i.e. Suppose we were running an evaporation model for a particular forest stand. Even though there are likely various types of trees, canopy heights and densities, variations in soil etc. we model that forest stand using a single LAI and K, and with uniform soil characteristics etc.

Lumped vs. Distributed Models

- **Distributed Models** These sorts of models take the variation of phenomena in space into account in their model structure
 - Both inputs and responses have a spatial aspect to them,
 i.e. mapped information is required as part of the input,
 and the output includes spatial pattern information
 - Distributed models are thus very useful when it comes to representing and studying variation. While the modeled sub-units still usually use the assumptions of homogeneity and being well-mixed, the units' size and shape are adjusted to make these assumptions as reasonable as possible, i.e. Perhaps the forest stand we are modeling consists of 2 or 3 distinctly different sub-units, each with distinct species, and canopy and soil characteristics. We could then model each of these sub-units with its own parameters.

Catchment Representation in Distributed Models

- There are a tremendous number of **strategies** that can be used in **breaking up the world into sub-units**
- We can generalize that the goal is usually to **minimize variation with a sub-unit and maximize the variation between units**, but beyond that the possibilities are endless:
 - Tessellations can use **regular** (repeating) or **irregular** shapes
 - Raster or vector spatial data models can be used
 - The set of model elements can be fixed throughout a simulation, or they can change as well …
- The representation chosen usually reflects the particular **catchment and processes** being studied, and the **assumptions** made about their variation

Representing the Real World w/ Models



Maidment, D.R. 1993. GIS and Hydrologic Modeling. In Goodchild, M.F., B.O. Parks, and L.T. Steyeart (Eds.). *Environmental Modeling and GIS*, Oxford University Press, New York, p. 157.

- The figure to the left depicts a hierarchy for (spatial) models of **knowledge** about the real world
- This set of **spatial models** includes a few sorts of spatial representations that can be used in conjunction with RHESSys
- In the case of our lumped models, issues of location and spatial arrangement have been unimportant, so it was possible to skip directly from the Real World to a semantic model

Regional HydroEcological Simulation System (RHESSys)



- The Regional HydroEcological Simulation System (RHESSys) is a GIS-based hydroecological modeling framework designed to simulate water, carbon, and nutrient fluxes
- By combining a set of physicallybased process models and a methodology for partitioning and parameterizing the landscape, RHESSys is capable of modeling the spatial distribution and spatiotemporal interactions between different processes at the watershed scale

How Does RHESSys Represent the Landscape?

- It models **processes** at spatial and temporal **scales** which efficiently and effectively represent **landscape heterogeneity:**
 - <u>Temporal</u> Through time step iterations of processes in the model execution (some processes are computed daily, while others are computed hourly since the hourly variation makes a difference, and reaggregated to a daily time step)
 - Spatial Through a landscape representation that enforces hierarchically contained object partitions, meaning that the entire watershed's extent is broken up into a set of basins, each basin is broken up into a set of zones, etc.
- Different processes are simulated using objects at **different levels in the hierarchy**

Regional HydroEcological Simulation System (RHESSys)



RHESSys Process Based Sub-Models



Conceptually, the way RHESSys does its calculations is like any lumped model, simply applied in a more complex fashion:
State variables keep track of the quantity of matter/energy of a particular sort in a particular object

•Matter and energy can move between particular stores within an object <u>**OR**</u> can move between objects (this is a **key difference**) according to the **process models** as applied at the appropriate level of the object hierarchy:

- •Meteorological processes use the **MT-CLIM** model operating in **Zones**
- •Hydrologic processes use either **TOPMODEL** or **DHSVM** in **Hillslopes** and **Patches**

•Canopy processes use **BIOME-BGC** running at the **Stratum** level

RHESSys Object Hierarchy



RHESSys Inputs

INPUTS

Library of Vegetation and Soil Parameters

Climate Disturbance Time Series History

- RHESSys makes use of **a few kinds of input data** (other than the spatial description) to set up a model run:
- Values are drawn from a library of vegetation, soil, and land-use parameters to describe those characteristics of the landscape that **will not change through the model run**. These are called **default parameters**
- Also required is **time series information**, such as daily temperature and precipitation information
- One time events (disturbances) can also be included

Landscape Representation through Object Partitioning

• RHESSys **divides** the landscape into a series of successively **contained** partitions:



- The **method** for creating a partition is **determined** by the processes it will represent
- Once landscape objects in a partition are defined,
 parameters at that level are determined

RHESSys GIS Preprocessing



David Tenenbaum - EEOS 383 - UMass Boston

Watershed (a.k.a. Drainage Basin, Catchment)

• A geomorphically distinct **landscape unit** defined by topographic boundaries, or drainage 'divides' that acts as a spatially discrete hydrological system



Water Budget Equations

• You may recognize the following equation:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = 0 = p - so - et \quad \text{or} \quad p = so + et$$



Figure 1.5 The catchment. The boundary of the catchment is referred to as a divide. If the catchment has been properly delineated, there should be no surface-water inflows or outflows across the divide, except at the outlet. In this case, the major inflow is precipitation (p), and the major outflows are evapotranspiration (et) and surface-water outflow through the catchment outlet (r_s) . The topography of the land surface controls where divides are drawn. In the figure, two mountain peaks, and their adjacent ridges, constitute the divide.

Hornberger et al. 1998. <u>Elements of Physical Hydrology</u>. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore and London.

D8 Analysis Sequence

- Assume we now have a raster DEM and we want to use it **find a watershed and drainage network** through D8 analysis
- We can follow this **sequence of analysis** steps, each of which involves a neighborhood analysis operation:
 - Fill Sinks
 - Slope
 - Aspect
 - Flow Direction
 - Flow Accumulation
 - StreamLink & StreamOrder
 - Watershed

D8 Analysis





Fill Sinks



Original	Filled
DEM	DEM
Pits or Sinks	

•We need a DEM that does not have any **depressions or pits** in it for D8 drainage network analysis

•The first step is to remove all pits from our DEM using a pitfilling algorithm

•This **illustration** shows a DEM of **Morgan Creek**, west of Chapel Hill

Flow Direction and Accumulation



	(yr -	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
9 >	1		(
	~		
5		<pre></pre>	
		Ì	
antai)m_	<u></u>		

Flow	Flow
Direction	Accumulation
Log of Flow Accumulation	

•Slope and aspect are needed to produce **flow direction**, which assigns each cell a **direction of steepest descent**

•Flow accumulation uses flow direction to find the number of cells that drain to each cell

•Taking the **log** of accumulation makes the **pattern** much easier to see

David Tenenbaum - EEOS 383 - UMass Boston

Stream Links, Order, and Basins



•By selecting a **threshold value** for flow accumulation, we can produce a **stream network**

•This network can **divided** into **stream links**, which can in turn be assigned **stream order** values using network analysis methods

•Threshold=1 gives the **watershed**

RHESSys GIS Preprocessing



Topographic Moisture Index



Hornsberger, G.M., Raffensberger, J.P., Wiberg, P.L. and K.N. Eshleman. 1998. *Elements of Physical Hydrology*, Johns Hopkins Press, U.S.A., p. 210 & p. 216. David Tenenbaum – EEOS 383 – UMass Boston

RHESSys GIS Preprocessing



David Tenenbaum - EEOS 383 - UMass Boston

RHESSys GIS Preprocessing

cView GIS 3.2 Edit Table Field Wi						
1 of 33selecter	c 📐 🕅 🗘					
iew 7 midot worldfile					xI	
Stratum 1 Obj	<u>~</u>	Attrib	utes of Hillslope	Objects		_ 🗆
		Shape	hillslope_ID	x	and the provide the	
atch Objects			TIIIISILIJIE_ILI			
100 C		Polygon	1	660578.477	3994764.070	214.2014
ne Objects	and the second provide the secon	Polygon	2	661654.456 663812.509	3993639.322 3993733.813	205.7964 196.8403
	man (Polygon Polygon	4	661102.750	3993249.165	207.1933
	5	Polygon	5	662879.791	3993060.183	197.1010
slope Obje		Polygon	6	665796.821	3992993.125	185.3278
	λ	Polygon	7	660343.774	3992310.351	209.9616
in Objects	1 22 32	Polygon	8	664845.815	3992938.259	186.4344
		Polygon	9	666756.972	3992721.844	175.8950
Constant of the second	× (} } ¥ ?	Polygon	10	663818.606	3992657.834	187.4759
Objects		Polygon	11	661303.925	3992523.718	202.8588
		Polygon	12	664458.706	3992886.442	185.0057
	20	Polygon	13	665802.917	3992218.908	184.2544
	- A	Polygon	14	664312.397	3992852.913	180.1502
	7 2 -	Polygon	15	664687.313	3992392.650	189.0712
) (7 Polygon	16	666927.665	3992295.111	176.5986
	A TA	Polygon	17	663992.347	3992267.678	194.6406
Sector Sector	2	Polygon	18	664681.217	3992039.071	191.0695
States and	Σ	Polygon	19	664790.949	3991801.319	192.9577
		Polygon	20	662955.994	3992252.437	190.9684
		Polygon	21	661684.937	3991959.820	199.6540
		Polygon	22	663056.581	3991953.724	188.5808
	Y	Polygon	23	665632.224	3990265.077	197.5820
		Polygon	24	663361.391	3991868.377	194.4153
Contraction and	No. of the second se	Polygon	25	664982.979	3991142.930	191.4402
			26 27	661864.775	3991389.826	196.7699
		Polygon	27	663974.059	3991176.459	200.7376
ALC: NOT STOLEN.		1 2010 Honoremonione				



RHESSys Output

- RHESSys can be used to track the **changes in a state variable over time**, in that the model produces a series of values for each timestep of the model run
- Key differences here are that RHESSys produces **hundreds of different output values** (various quantities related to water, carbon and nutrients) that can be consumed in this way for **EACH object**!
- Alternatively, the same value for each object from the same timestep can be mapped, to produce spatial outputs that show the pattern of values