

Evaluation of Educational Change
CrCrTh693 Spring 2007
NOTES ON TEACHING/LEARNING INTERACTIONS
including guidelines for assignments

Refer to the Rubrics handout for a check-list of expectations for the assignments and other requirements.

Homework and other preparatory tasks are not part of the required assignments, but are recommended to make classes more valuable to you.

Examples of previous students' work will be linked to the course webpage as we progress through the semester. They can be consulted to indicate the range of ways students tackle an assignment; they are not models to be copied. If the link does not work, the example has not yet been uploaded.

Written assignments and presentations

Note: If you get behind, ask for an extension or skip the assignment/item—the intended learning rarely happens if you submit a stack of late work.

A. Stages of development for Action Research Design project

The design project should not be seen as a "term paper," but as a **process of development** that involves i) **dialogue** with the instructor (see below) and other students and ii) revision (re-seeing) in light of that dialogue. To facilitate that process, a sequence of ten assignments and peer commentary is required. The goals of each stage are described below.

The expectation for these assignments is that you will pull together the work you have done and reflect on the experience in ways that fit the tasks you take on and your own situation and style. Moreover, provided you submit the assignment on time, my responses will be designed to help you develop your contribution to the AR still remaining.

1. 1st Reflection on your Experience as Novice Action Researchers. 350-500 words that relate your experience to some points in the reading from Schmuck. Comment on what we did that was and was not covered by him and what he advocates that we didn't do.

2. Initial description

Building on your in-class strategic personal planning, compose an initial overview of your design project. This overview may, several revisions later, end up setting the scene in the introduction of your project. In one-two prose paragraphs (not disconnected points a.k.a. "bullets"), an overview should convey subject, audience, and your reason for working on this project. The design you propose must relate to evaluation and/or facilitation of educational change (broadly construed) that you are doing or interested in undertaking. Previous semesters' projects are available for viewing on reserve and/or through link to the course website.

3. KAQ (a variant of KNF). Using the worksheet provided, work through the whole KAQ (and F) for each point and use the additional questions in parentheses (perhaps with another student as sounding board) to check your thinking. The expectation for this assignment is that use of this tool won't come naturally and you will need coaching to tease out and then tighten your thinking.

4. Evaluation clock

The expectation for this assignment is that you will **not** get it right the first time, but will need coaching to produce the focused comparison steps and the recursive full clock.

6. Notes on research and planning

Pull together notes on your reading and your thinking and present it in a form organized so it can elicit useful comments from a reader (in this case, me). To show your planning, you should submit an updated overview and an outline and/or evaluation clock. To show that you are finding out what others have been doing in your area of interest, you should include annotated bibliography of readings done or planned. Record the full citations for your sources, including those from the WWW. I recommend using a bibliographic database—Endnote can be downloaded for a 30 day trial from <http://www.endnote.com>

5 & 8. Work-in-progress presentations

Preparing presentations, hearing yourself deliver them, and getting feedback usually leads to self-clarification of the overall direction of your project and of your priorities for further work. In this spirit, 10-15 minute presentations of your work-in-progress are scheduled early in your projects and a bit later – they are necessarily on work in progress. Convey the important features of work you have already done and, to elicit useful feedback during 3-5 minutes of Q&A, indicate also where additional investigation or advice are needed and where you think that might lead you.

7. Narrative outline

This is an outline or plan of your report with explanatory sentences inserted at key places:

- i) to explain *in a declarative style* the point of each section;
- ii) to explain how each section *links* to the previous one and/or to the larger section or the whole report it's part of. The object of doing a narrative outline is to move you beyond the preliminary thinking that goes into a standard outline or even a nested and connected table of contents. Insertion of explanatory sentences helps you check that your ideas and material really will fit your outline..

9. Complete draft report

See guidelines for final report. The draft must get to the end to count for this assignment, even if some sections along the way are only sketches.

10. Final report (1500-2500 words, plus bibliography of references cited)

Whatever form your report takes, make sure you explain why you have designed this evaluation or facilitation. You should also include material that conveys your process of development during the project and in the future. The report should not be directed to the instructor, but conceived as something helpful to readers like your CCT student colleagues.

For the report to be counted as final, you must have revised in response to comments from instructor and peers on complete draft. Allow time for the additional investigation and thinking that may be entailed.

A. Dialogue around written work

I try to create a dialogue with each student around written work, that is, around your writing, my responses, and your responses in turn. Central to this teaching/learning interaction are requests to "Revise and Resubmit." The idea is not that you make changes to please me the teacher or to meet some unstated standard, but that as a writer you use the eye of others to develop your own thinking and make it work better on readers. I may continue to request revision when I judge that the interaction can still yield significant learning. Such a request does not mean your (re)submission was "bad"—even when the first submissions of written assignments are excellent, angles for learning through dialogue are always opened up.

In my comments I try to capture where the writer was taking me and make suggestions for how to clarify and extend the impact on readers of what was written. After letting my comments sink in, you may conclude that I have missed the point. In this case, my misreading should stimulate you to revise so as to help readers avoid mistaking the intended point. If you do not understand the directions I saw in your work or those I suggest for the revision, a face-to-face or phone conversation is the obvious next step—written comments have definite limitations when writers and readers want to appreciate and learn from what each other is saying and thinking. **Please talk to me immediately if you do not see how you are benefiting from the "Revise and resubmit" process.** I am still learning how to engage students in this in ways that take into account your various backgrounds and dispositions and my own.

Students should submit two copies of all typed assignments because I want to give you one back with my comments so you have it when you read them. (I keep the other plus a carbon copy of my comments in a portfolio that I can refer back to.)

C. Building learning community -- Prepared participation and punctual attendance at class meetings are expected, but allowance is made for other priorities in your life. I do not require you to give excuses for absence, lateness, or lack of preparation. Simply make up the 80% of participation items in other ways (D-H).

D. Personal/Professional Development Workbook

In your workbook keep records or products of homework and Action Research tasks, preparation for assignments, weekly journal-type reflections on the course and classes, notes on readings, clippings, e-clippings. Explore, when appropriate, the relationship between, on one hand, your interests and possible projects and, on the other hand, the readings and activities. I encourage those of you who find it hard to make space for journaling/reflection to stay 10 minutes after class and write while your thoughts are fresh. If you are using the workbook effectively, it should convey your developing process of preparing to practice the tools and of critical thinking about course readings, activities, and discussions. When you first show me the PD workbook for perusal, I will let you know if you need to show more processing and organize it better. The worksheet submitted in week 6 will allow me to make further suggestions about your PD workbook and research organization. When I peruse the PD workbooks, bind together pages with post-its or otherwise indicate which bits you do not want me to look at.

Clippings and E-clippings

To keep up with current developments—and get you into the habit of this for your lifelong learning—look for articles related to evaluation and facilitation of educational change in newspapers, magazines, journals, and websites. The education column in NY Times on Wednesdays is often good. Write the full citation on each article, unless it is already included. Use large post-its to add your own reflections on specific points in the articles you choose. Aim for one/week. Include these in your PD workbook, including copies of items from the WWW posted to cct693Clips. For clippings you find on the web submit the URL and brief annotation to cct693clips@yahoo.com. These can be viewed at <http://www.yahogroups.com/group/cct693Clips>. Use the search box to find clippings on specific topics.

E. Conferences

for discussion of comments on assignments (see Dialogue around written work, above), ideas for course projects, your PD workbook, and the course as a whole. They are important to ensure timely resolution of misunderstandings and to get a recharge if you get behind.

F. Peer commentary

After the draft report is completed, you should comment on another student's draft. Send me a copy by email and/or include in PD workbook. Keep Elbow, Writing with Power, chapters 3 & 13 in mind when you decide what approaches to commenting you ask for as a writer and use as a commentator. In the past I made lots of specific suggestions for clarification and change in the margins, but in my experience, such suggestions led only a minority of students beyond touching up into re-thinking and revising their ideas and writing. On the other hand, I believe that all writers value comments that reassure them that they have been listened to and their voice, however uncertain, has been heard.

G. Assignment check-list

Please keep track of your assignments and revisions submitted and when they are returned marked OK/RNR. To gauge whether you are on track for at least a B+, simply note whether you have submitted 80% of the assignments by the dates marked and attended 80% of the classes. If you are behind do NOT hide and do NOT end the semester without a completion contract.

H. Process review

Identify 4-6 examples that capture the process of development of your work and thinking about facilitation and evaluation of educational change. Journaling, freewriting, drafts, etc. may be included, that is, not simply your best products. Explain your choices in a 250-500 word cover note and through annotations (large post-its are a good way to do this). Submit with your PD workbook, or extract into a portfolio.

Other Teaching/Learning Tools

Rationale for the Assessment system

The rationale for grading the different assignments simply OK or R&R (revise & resubmit) and granting an automatic B+ for 80% satisfactory completion is to keep the focus of our teaching/learning interactions on your developing through the semester. It allows more space for students and instructor to appreciate and learn from what each other is saying and thinking. My goal is to work with everyone to achieve the 80% satisfactory completion level. Students who progress steadily towards that goal during the semester usually end up producing work that meets the criteria for a higher grade than a B+ (see **Rubrics**). Use the **Assignment Check-list** to keep track of your own progress. You are free to do more than 80% of the assignments and fulfill more than 80% of the participation items, but it does not hurt your grade to choose strategically to miss some in light of your other work and life happenings. Ask for clarification if needed to get clear and comfortable with this system.

Learning Community and email group/list

Individually and as a group, you already know a lot about educational change. You can learn a lot from each other and from teaching others what you know. The email group or list (emails sent to cct693@lists.umb.edu) can be used to help the community develop.

Activities for "self-affirming" learning

Students already know a lot. If this knowledge is elicited and affirmed, they are more able to learn from others. Activities such as freewriting bring to the surface students' insight that they were not able, at first, to acknowledge. Over the course of the semester, students are encouraged to recognize that there is insight in every response and share their not-yet-stable aspects. The trust required takes time to establish.

Tools for Group Process

Each week introduces a different tool or practice for facilitating group process. Handouts on the tools are linked to the course website when they are ready.

Guided freewriting

In a freewriting exercise, you should not take your pen off the paper. Keep writing even if you find yourself stating over and over again, "I don't know what to say." What you write won't be seen by anyone else, so don't go back to tidy up sentences, grammar, spelling. You will probably diverge from the topic, at least for a time while you acknowledge other preoccupations. That's OK—it's one of the purposes of the exercise to get things off one's chest. However, if you keep writing for ten minutes, you should expose some thoughts about the topic that had been below the surface of your attention—that's another of the aims of the exercise. Reference: Elbow, chapter 2.

Think-pair-share

After preparing your thoughts, you pair up with another person, and, through sharing ideas, you refine your own and prepare to share a key part of them with the whole class (time permitting).

Taking stock during semester ("formative evaluation")

Through activities, such as the Critical Incident Questionnaire, I encourage students to approach this course as a work-in-progress. Instead of harboring criticisms to submit after the fact, we can find opportunities to affirm what is working well and suggest directions for further development.

Taking stock at end of semester involves multiple angles on course evaluation (including written evaluations during class, Process reviews and planning for your ongoing PD):

- a) to feed into your future learning (and other work), you take stock of your process(es) over the semester;
- b) to feed into my future teaching (and future learning about how students learn), I take stock of how you, the students, have learned.