### Practicum, CCT698 Part ||

#### **Course evaluation**

Write out neatly a synthetic statement (1 or 2 paragraphs) evaluating this course. (You might build on/build in your comments from the other side.) Please make comments both to help me develop the course in the future and to enable some third party appreciate the course's strengths and weaknesses. (Imagine a reader who may not be willing to wade through all the notes on the other side, but is willing to do more than look at numerical averages.) Among other things you might comment on the overall content and progression of classes, the phases of research & engagament, and the in-class activities.

I can't imagine getting as far as I have without this course. It has helped me visualize the sequence and processes I need to utilize to do something more than a small scale project, and to begin to synthesize enormous amounts of thinking and reading that I have done over the past few years, step by step, into something substantive, and perhaps even modestly effective.

### Practicum, CCT698 Part II

#### Course evaluation

Write out neatly a synthetic statement (1 or 2 paragraphs) evaluating this course. (You might build on/build in your comments from the other side.) Please make comments both to help me develop the course in the future and to enable some third party appreciate the course's strengths and weaknesses. (Imagine a reader who may not be willing to wade through all the notes on the other side, but is willing to do more than look at numerical averages.) Among other things you might comment on the overall content and progression of classes, the phases of research & engagament, and the in-class activities.

During the initial classes, I felt extremely overwhelmed and unable to grasp the format of the lass and understand the expectations. Every week I read and reread the syllabus, goals, tasks and dates. This process helped me to become more comfortable with the format and for me to think about what tasks and writings would be more helpful for the direction I wanted to go with my project. Eventually, I felt that I could identify what would or would not be of benefit for me such as starting the interview tool and then deciding that this tool would not be of any help and this was also the time when I was able to more clearly see, with the assistance of Peter, the direction I wanted to move in. There was much time spent on retrieving literature and doing lit review and I do not see this as wasted time but rather as time needed to come to a point of revelation. This was coming up with a clear picture of what I wanted to do and how I was going to move in that direction.

Towards the end of the semester, I found that I was more confident in my writing and in understanding what was expected of me for completion and mastering of the course. I looked forward to each task with eagerness once my project had a direction. The subject I initially brought up in the first class was one that I had not even thought of tackling. As the semester progressed, I acknowledged that I was happy I had made the initial statement about a problem. The semester and class allowed me to have a more positive outlook on the problem and what I am able to do in relation to it. I feel that my thinking skills and ability to move in the direction of research were engaged and that I experienced personal growth and development in this area over the period of the semester.

Write out neatly a synthetic statement (1 or 2 paragraphs) evaluating this course. (You might build on/build in your comments from the other side.) Please make comments both to help me develop the course in the future and to enable some third party appreciate the course's strengths and weaknesses. (Imagine a reader who may not be willing to wade through all the notes on the other side, but is willing to do more than look at numerical averages.) Among other things you might comment on the overall content and progression of classes, the phases of research & engagament, and the in-class activities.

THIS COUPSE WAS VERY CHALLENGING YET REWARDING. IT WAS A GREAT WAY
TO LEARN HOW TO RESEARCH IN LOGICAL STEPS AND TO BE MORE COGNATUE
OF THE PROCESS AND YOUR WORK. MOST IMPORTANTLY, IT TEACHES YOU TO WORK
ON YOUR OWN AND FIGURE OUT SOME THINGS ON YOUR OWN AS NOT ALL IS
TOLD TO YOU BY THE PROFESSOR.

THE COURSE IS DIFFICULT AS THE WEBSITE HAS MANY HIDDEN HINKS AND YOU ALWAYS END UP MISSING SOMETHING. IF IT WAS MADE EASIER TO FOLLOW AND THE PROFESSOR GAVE A 'SPECIFIC' ASSINGMENT AT THE END, SOME OF THE STRESS OF THE COURSE WOULD BE ELIMINATED.

Write out neatly a synthetic statement (1 or 2 paragraphs) evaluating this course. (You might build on/build in your comments from the other side.) Please make comments both to help me develop the course in the future and to enable some third party appreciate the course's strengths and weaknesses. (Imagine a reader who may not be willing to wade through all the notes on the other side, but is willing to do more than look at numerical averages.) Among other things you might comment on the overall content and progression of classes, the phases of research & engagament, and the in-class activities.

the course works well if you explore that you have. You need to set your own goals to will develop skills to work without the support of the teacher.

A Some of the phases require investigation on your own to complete them. If you are looking to be them. If you are looking to be led told what to do, this will be a challenging course.

# Practicum, CCT698 Part II

#### Course evaluation

Write out neatly a synthetic statement (1 or 2 paragraphs) evaluating this course. (You might build on/build in your comments from the other side.) Please make comments both to help me develop the course in the future and to enable some third party appreciate the course's strengths and weaknesses. (Imagine a reader who may not be willing to wade through all the notes on the other side, but is willing to do more than look at numerical averages.) Among other things you might comment on the overall content and progression of classes, the phases of research & engagament, and the in-class activities.

Earlier today I was asked by chother act student what this class was like wind would she do well In it. It was easy for me to recommend this class as a worth While andeavor but harder for me to explain why. I was able to say that this class has taight me a great deal about mykelf and about believing in a process. It has mure difficult to give her examples of Hint process and my progress. I have greather appreciated all of the feedback that I have acceived both from peter and my classmates. This class is set up well to allow stidents to learn from one onether throughout the semester.

#### ♥ Practicum, CCT698 Part II

#### Course evaluation

Write out neatly a synthetic statement (1 or 2 paragraphs) evaluating this course. (You might build on/build in your comments from the other side.) Please make comments both to help me develop the course in the future and to enable some third party appreciate the course's strengths and weaknesses. (Imagine a reader who may not be willing to wade through all the notes on the other side, but is willing to do more than look at numerical averages.) Among other things you might comment on the overall content and progression of classes, the phases of research & engagament, and the in-class activities.

I think this course is designed with except in mind. I found the amount of material given before the first Cisas simply confused me. Perhips premade binding in on organization that excrepe can follow, then people can change the on around so desired. The fluxibility is very helpful from the comme to the learning mores. I is af it in does is spent trying to figure out what we are Anjos to accomplish A bigger orientation to the southers boold be helpful. An explanation of dates and required when the importance of keeping materials together and the checkest evaluated would help as well. A required don't report should be asked for mound midisements, even if it is much, so that we have something to build off of as well as noon/their for persportance. Harls all.

Write out neatly a synthetic statement (1 or 2 paragraphs) evaluating this course. (You might build on/build in your comments from the other side.) Please make comments both to help me develop the course in the future and to enable some third party appreciate the course's strengths and weaknesses. (Imagine a reader who may not be willing to wade through all the notes on the other side, but is willing to do more than look at numerical averages.) Among other things you might comment on the overall content and progression of classes, the phases of research & engagament, and the in-class activities.

The class structure works well in the workshop setting. The interaction between Students indeed (Rucial, Keeping and onsoing dialogue between students outside of the charrown is also important. The planned meeting outside of CLASS with our professor are extramely valuable.

I have truly come to enough, appreciate the learning that 698 has brought about. The ability to trust of the weakly each other is so important. The weakly check-Ins are quite valuable to the progress if the CLASS. The value of a three support group is crucial to leavining, 698 creates and encouraging at mosphere.

Write out neatly a synthetic statement (1 or 2 paragraphs) evaluating this course. (You might build on/build in your comments from the other side.) Please make comments both to help me develop the course in the future and to enable some third party appreciate the course's strengths and weaknesses. (Imagine a reader who may not be willing to wade through all the notes on the other side, but is willing to do more than look at numerical averages.) Among other things you might comment on the overall content and progression of classes, the phases of research & engagament, and the in-class activities.

One Thing that is readily appreciated is that this course offees attended a venue that more traditional courses do not. I men, the in-class + out of class assignments had a range of interesting assignments specifing to different learning styles. White I strugsled at times with the introspective nature of some assignments, it ultimately did being me acound to greater clarity on my goals, current paper, and next steps.

There needs to be more commonity building early on. This course is packer, but I can get ways to get the group quicker - and that was needed - not about but could have been done more. The support I tostered w/2 students was useful, but it was based on pre-existing relationships. The sylabors was a weakness just could be better organized (concise tollar). But overall, this course propulled me forward to will continue to will some of the tools I ve g learned here.

Is family faithfullieve In anonymous feedback).

Write out neatly a synthetic statement (1 or 2 paragraphs) evaluating this course. (You might build on/build in your comments from the other side.) Please make comments both to help me develop the course in the future and to enable some third party appreciate the course's strengths and weaknesses. (Imagine a reader who may not be willing to wade through all the notes on the other side, but is willing to do more than look at numerical averages.) Among other things you might comment on the overall content and progression of classes, the phases of research & engagament, and the in-class activities.

Upon entering CCT 698 I was extremely delighted for several neasons. First, I had Peter Jaylor as an instructor in CCT 693. I was enthalled With that Class because of the great impact it had on my life. I do not know if it was the readings, Peter's intensity or a bright and more peodigious way of thinking. I thought he was the smartest instructor whad ever experienced. It of Course, I did not think thuice about intensing the CCT program as a fuel time student.

My 698 af perience did not disappoint me. He second quatest experience was Peter Daylor allowing me to work as a Peter Daylor allowing me to work as a Craduate Assistant in which I was not only Craduate Assistant in which I was not only priviledged to teach Socio-Cultural Perspectives and the lites sed to receive a tution waived. and the lites sed to receive a tution waived. I provide God and gave Thanks for Peter! He will I provide God and gave Thought fulners enhanced the Never know how his thought fulners enhanced the quality of my life, finally, through the research quality of my life, finally, through the research and explore the Course (698) I was able to define and explore the derection my doctoral research would take. While Peter is Very Steuctured, he is also belible and allows automorm. I love the CCT Program.

Write out neatly a synthetic statement (1 or 2 paragraphs) evaluating this course. (You might build on/build in your comments from the other side.) Please make comments both to help me develop the course in the future and to enable some third party appreciate the course's strengths and weaknesses. (Imagine a reader who may not be willing to wade through all the notes on the other side, but is willing to do more than look at numerical averages.) Among other things you might comment on the overall content and progression of classes, the phases of research & engagament, and the in-class activities.

an investation to engage in research or topic of investor and concern is offered to the lund attend. The research topic that are smethed unfamilial are taught and bracked. The bhases of engagement, butially, Seemed with so distant but standing.

I struggle with pressed but graduly find high which he standard.

I struggle with pressed but graduly find high which the standard. I struggle with pressed but graduly took which we standard.

I Struggle With process Les graduilly

find by buy. P. Jaylar is alway workede

and brakes every effort to brokery

(chelule to best time 15105. I appreciate

the branner in Which you 4500 his

(he brokess - bruch like a bridlestee - attention,

Vescoure tal, partent all en couraging
buy flective, helpful actually - lovery assignment

bus sent now are the war hits by fruit

# Practicum, CCT698 Part II

### Course evaluation

Write out neatly a synthetic statement (1 or 2 paragraphs) evaluating this course. (You might build on/build in your comments from the other side.) Please make comments both to help me develop the course in the future and to enable some third party appreciate the course's strengths and weaknesses. (Imagine a reader who may not be willing to wade through all the notes on the other side, but is willing to do more than look at numerical averages.) Among other things you might comment on the overall content and progression of classes, the phases of research & engagament, and the in-class activities.

For stantum. The course will do ton each individual whetever they want it to do be them. The effectiveness of this course for me was not about figuring everything out write now. Instead I focused on how I would put the precess of what various pieces together. This course offer a stategy that can be Re-visited over I over I over again as new situation emerge. (IT 698 is an instation it I imagine that appearance it Repetition) What comes from them enclaves will open greater possibilities